

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,976	06/23/2003		James M. Barbison	60130-1269:01MRA0520	1335	
26096	7590	03/23/2004		EXAMINER		
	•	EY & OLDS, P.C.	PEZZLO, BENJAMIN A			
400 WEST N SUITE 350	MAPLE K	OAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009				3683		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,976	BARBISON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin A Pezzlo	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	<u>une 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t I}$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 23 June 2003. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/601,976

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, the specification fails to provide sufficient description of how to make sealed plates movable relative to one another.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carlson et al. (US 4923057).

Carlson et al. disclose a variable rate spring assembly (see for example Figs. 12a and 12b) comprising: a housing 32 defining a chamber; a layer of compressible medium 18 disposed with said chamber; a layer of electro-reactive medium 18 disposed with said chamber; a coil

Application/Control Number: 10/601,976

Art Unit: 3683

assembly V1-V4 associated with said layer of electro-reactive medium; a controller (see Fig. 14) in communication with said coil assembly to control a magnetic field generated by said coil assembly; and at least one attachment member movable relative to said housing (see col. 16 lines 63-65).

Re claims 2 and 3, see col. 7 lines 13-25.

Re claims 4-6, see the recesses shown in Fig. 5.

Re claim 12, see Figs. 11, 14, and 15.

Re claims 13-18, see Fig. 4.

Re claim 19, see col. 7 lines 13-25.

Re claims 20-22, see Figs. 11, 14, and 15.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Furihata et al., Funaki et al., Endo et al., Maciejewski, Schubert, Hartel, Weiss et al., Kordonsky et al., and Shtarkman disclose related devices.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin A Pezzlo whose telephone number is (703) 306-4617. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2,000 A. Pe 32 3/17/04

Benjamin A Pezzlo Examiner Art Unit 3683

BAP March 17, 2004